

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,714	03/22/2004	Wilhelmus Joseph Leonardus Suyker	DVME-1003USDIV4	9243
21302 7590 01/31/2008 KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY EIGHT PENN CENTER			EXAMINER	
			GETTMAN, CHRISTINA DANIELLE	
•	SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11,111		3734	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	. 01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/805,714	SUYKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christina D. Gettman	3734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	i r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	nity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	` ` ' '						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application					
	· — ——						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 30, 2007, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balazs et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,669,918). Balazs et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed including an applicator (see Fig. 1) for making an anastomosis including a head with (see Fig. 2a) a plurality of arms (ref. 20, Fig. 2a), the arms moveable between a first and second position (see ref. 20 in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b), a shank-like element (ref. 5, Fig. 2a and 2b) to aid in moving the arms between the two positions, wherein the distal ends of said arms support a hollow structure (col. 8, lines 28-31) and hold joining elements (ref. 30, Fig. 2a; ref. 30 if Fig. 2b is located at the distal end of the arms), a proximal end of each arm is attached to an attachment member (ref.

10/805,714

Art Unit: 3734

2, Fig. 2a and 2b), said arms expand from the first to second position by deformation (by deformation against ref. 42, Fig. 2a and 2b), a part proximal to the distal end of the shank-like element having a surface portion (ref. 22 and ref. 54, Fig. 2a and 2b), a detainer (ref. 35, Fig. 2a and 2b) for controlling movement of the joining elements, the detainer comprising surface portions (distal end of ref. 35, Fig. 2a and 2b), the detainer being axially moveable with respect to the arms (see difference between ref. 35 in Fig. 2a and 2b; the detainer moves axially as the arms are moved outward and inward), axial movement of the detainer positions the joining elements (col. 8, lines 34-40), the joining elements becoming disengaged from the surface portions (after they have been put into the hollow structure, they are released from the surface portions), and the surface portions (ref. 4, Fig. 2a and 2b; ref. 4 prevents lateral movement of the arms until they are pushed outward by the shank-like element; ref. 4 is also part of the detainer because it is connected to it) limiting the movement of the arms form part of the detainer (the detainer is part of the head) or head. Balazs et al. do not disclose one of the positions having a distal end that has a smaller diameter than its proximal end. However, in Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that. where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. Decreasing the diameter of the first position from what it is in Balazs et al. would not change the function of the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Filipi (U.S. Patent No. 4,505,414) disclose an expandable anvil surgical stapler that has arms that expand outward. Filipi also discloses a detainer that has interior surface portions that restrict movement of the arms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina D. Gettman whose telephone number is 571-272-3128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:15 am to 3:45 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/805,714 Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christina Gettman Art Unit 3734

571-272-3128

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER